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ABSTRACT

Background: Low birth weight (LBW) remains a major cause of death and illness in infants. This study aimed to analyze the effects of biological, social, economic, and nutritional factors on LBW at Madiun General Hospital, East Java.

Subjects and Method: This was an analytic observational study with a case-control design. The study was conducted at Madiun General Hospital, East Java, from January to December 2017. A sample of 200 newborn babies were selected for this study using fixed disease sampling, consisting of 50 babies with low birth weight and 150 control infants with normal birth weight. The dependent variable was birth weight. The independent variables were maternal age, education, parity, maternal nutritional status, maternal employment, family income, anemia, and birth space. Clinical data were taken from medical record. The data of other variables were collected by questionnaire. Path analysis was employed for data analysis.

Results: The risk of LBW increased with anemia (b= 1.09; CI 95%= 0.27 to 1.91; p= 0.009), multiparity (b= 1.73; CI 95%= 0.28 to 2.06; p= 0.010), and age <20 or >35 years (b= 1.41; CI 95%= 0.24 to 2.57; p= 0.018). The risk of LBW decreased with good maternal nutritional status (b= -1.49; CI 95%= -2.32 to -0.65; p< 0.001), maternal education ≥high school (b= -1.05; CI 95%= -1.88 to -0.21; p= 0.014), and wide birth space (b= -1.06; CI 95%= -2.24 to 0.11 p= 0.076). Maternal employment and family income had indirect effect on the risk of LBW.

Conclusion: The risk of LBW is affected by anemia, parity, maternal age <20 years and ≥35 years, poor maternal nutritional status, low maternal education, and narrow birth space.
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BACKGROUND

Infant mortality is one of the priority problems in developing countries including Indonesia. Infant mortality not only reflects the health of the baby but also the welfare of society as a whole. As known, infant mortality is also one indicator of health status in Indonesia. Infant Mortality Rate (IMR) only slightly decreases each year (La- michhane et al 2017, Khadka et al 2015, Ministry of Health, 2015).

The cause of Low Birth Weight (LBW) is also influenced by several factors that influence the incidence of LBW ie factors related to mother like, mother age, age of pregnant, parity, body weight and height body mass index, BMI, routine pregnancy screening (ANC), regular drinking of tablets blood adding/ FE tablets, nutritional status during pregnancy, anemia in pregnant women, alcohol and smoking habits, diseases during pregnancy, distance of pregnancy, history of abortion. Fetal factors include multiple pregnancies, congenital abnormalities, infant factors such as gender and race. Environmental factors include mater-
nial education and knowledge, achievement, socioeconomic status (Mitao et al., 2016; Baskhar et al., 2015; Feresu et al., 2015).

According to the report of the Health Office of East Java Province (2013), it is known that the number of babies born with LBW reached 3.32% obtained from the data on the percentage of births of 19,712 babies of 594,461 newborns weighed. The highest LBW rate was in Madiun City of 9.66% and the lowest was in Bangkalan District at 1.25%. From the data of 2015 Madiun health office, the incidence of LBW in Madiun is 140 cases of LBW with the percentage of 5.56% decreased compared to that of 2014 which is 168 cases with the percentage (6.00%) of babies with LBW. This is mostly caused by interference or comorbidities in pregnant women such as anemia, chronic energy deficiency, hypertension, preeclampsia/ eclampsia, gemeli, infection, congenital abnormalities and others so that the baby is born aterm or not yet term born birth Low Birth Attendance.

Infants with LBW are among the causes of neonatal death, in addition to Birth Trauma, Asphyxia, Infection, Tetanus Neonaorum (TN), Congenital Abnormalities and Others. Neonatal mortality caused by LBW reached 38.03% and this figure is the highest compared to other causes (Health Office, East Java, 2013).

This study aimed to analyze the effects of biological, social, economical factors and the nutritional status of the pregnant women on the low birthweight in Madiun hospital, East Java.

2. Population and sample
The target population in the study was all infants born Madiun city hospital in January to December 2017 period. A sample of 200 infants was selected by fixed disease sampling including 50 infants with low birthweight and of 150 infants with normal birthweight.

The inclusion criteria included infant birth weight (<2500 gram) born in Madiun city hospital during the study period, normal birth weight (2500-4000 gram), mothers with a history of normal pregnancy, mothers willing to be respondents, have maternal and child monis book or pregnancy check book, residing in the area of Madiun City. The exclusion criteria consisted of a born-again baby, a mother who has a history of pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, diabetes mellitus and twins, a mother who gave birth to a baby weighing more than 4000 grams, a mother who moved outside the Madiun City area.

3. Study variables
The independent variables were maternal education, maternal occupation, family income, maternal age, parity, birth spacing, maternal nutritional status during pregnancy, and anemia in pregnancy. The dependent variable was low birthweight (LBW).

4. Operational definition of variables
Birth weight was defined as infant body weight at birth measured in gram unit. The data were taken from medical record. The measurement scale was continuous, but for the purpose of data analysis, it was transformed into dichotomous coded 0 for

Maternal education was defined as the highest formal education status of mothers. The data were collected by questionnaire. The measurement scale was categorical.

Maternal occupation was defined as a job inside and outside the home that can support the family income. The data were
collected by questionnaire. The measurement scale was categorical.

Family income was defined as the amount of family income received in a month as an economic source. Income can be measured by minimum regional wage. The measurement scale was continuous.

Maternal age was defined as the age at the time of delivery. The data were collected by medical record. The measurement scale was continuous.

Parity was defined as the number of live-born children. The data were collected by questionnaires. The measurement scale was continuous.

Birth spacing was defined as the period of time between a pregnancy with subsequent pregnancies. The data were collected by questionnaires. The measurement scale was continuous.

Data on maternal nutritional status during pregnancy were measured by Middle Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) size using MUAC tape. The data were taken from maternal and child health monitoring book. The measurement scale was continuous.

Anemia in pregnancy was defined as a maternal hemoglobin levels during pregnancy less than 11 g/dl from the first trimester to the 3rd trimester of pregnancy. The data were taken from maternal and child monitoring book.

5. Data analysis
The data analysis was conducted using path analysis to know the influence of direct and indirect variable. Path analysis steps including model specification, model identification, model fit, parameter estimate, and model re-specification.

RESULTS
1. The Characteristics of Subjects
Univariate analysis was conducted to explain and describe the characteristics of each research variable based on primary and secondary data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Subject Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Characteristics of Subjects</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal occupation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal nutritional status (MUAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Path Analysis
The results of path analysis by STATA 13 are the following variables: The number of observed variables were 9, endogenous variables were 5, and exogenous variables were 4, so that the degree
of freedom (df) was 28 (over-identified). Degree of freedom >1 showed that path analysis can be done.

Figure 1. Structural model with estimate

Table 2. The results of path analysis on the association between biological, social, economic, and maternal gestational nutritional status, and LBW in Madiun Hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Effect</td>
<td>Low birthweight</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>-2.32</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low birthweight</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low birthweight</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low birthweight</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low birthweight</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low birthweight</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>-2.24</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Effect</td>
<td>Nutritional status</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal education</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal education</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal occupation</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal education</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>-2.03</td>
<td>-3.52</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal Age</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was a negative association between nutritional status and LBW and it was statistically significant. Children with good nutritional status reduced the risk of LBW ($b = -1.49; 95\% CI = -2.32$ to $-0.65; p < 0.001$).

There was a negative association between maternal education and LBW.
Maternal education ≥Senior high school reduced the risk of LBW (b = -1.05; 95% CI= -1.88 to -0.21; p = 0.014).

There was a positive association between maternal anemia during pregnancy and LBW. Pregnant mother with anemia increased the risk of LBW (b = 1.09; 95% CI= 0.27 to 1.91; p=0.009).

There was a positive association between parity and LBW. Parity >3 increased the risk of LBW (b = 1.17; 95% CI= 0.28 to 2.07; p=0.010).

There was a positive association between maternal age and LBW. Maternal age at pregnancy <20 or >35 years increased the risk of LBW (b = 1.41; 95% CI= 0.24 to 2.57; p= 0.018).

There was a negative effect between birth spacing and LBW. Birth spacing <2 year increased the risk of LBW (b = 1.067; 95% CI= -2.24 to 0.11; p = 0.076).

There was a positive association between family income and maternal MUAC during pregnancy. Family income ≥minimum wage improved maternal MUAC (b= 0.67; 95% CI= -0.03 to 1.37; p= 0.062).

There was a positive association between maternal education and family income. Maternal education ≥Senior high school increased the likelihood of family income (b= 0.98; 95% CI= 0.24 to 1.73; p= 0.010).

There was a positive association between maternal occupation and family income. Mother who works outside the house increased the likelihood of family income (b= 1.14; 95% CI= 0.48 to 1.80; p =0.001).

There was a negative association between maternal education and maternal anemia during pregnancy. Maternal education ≥Senior high school reduced the risk of anemia in mother during pregnancy (b= -0.59; 95% CI= -1.25 to 0.07; p = 0.079).

There was a positive association between maternal education and family income. Maternal education ≥Senior high school increased family income (b= 0.98; 95% CI= 0.24 to 1.73; p =0.010).

There was a negative association between maternal education and parity. Maternal education ≥Senior high school lowered parity (b = -0.59; 95% CI= -1.26 to 0.08; p=0.085).

There was a negative association between parity and birth spacing. Parity >3 lowered birth spacing (b = -2.03; 95% CI= -3.52 to -0.55; p=0.007).

There was a positive association between maternal age during pregnancy and maternal education. Reproductive maternal age increased maternal education (b= 0.79; 95% CI= 0.09 to 1.49; p=0.028).

**DISCUSSIONS**

1. **The effect of maternal nutritional status during pregnancy and LBW**

This study showed that there was a direct effect between maternal MUAC and LBW. Maternal MUAC ≥23.5 cm reduced the risk of LBW. The assessment of pregnant maternal nutritional status could be done by biochemistry and anthropometric measurements (Ministry of Health RI, 2012).

Maternal nutritional status in this study was measured by maternal MUAC) (Proverawati, 2009). MUAC was the upper arm of the tricep section. MUAC was used to estimate the thickness of fat under the skin (Almatsier, 2011). The MUAC measurements were quite representative, in which the MUAC size of pregnant women was closely related to maternal BMI. MUAC showed the nutritional status of pregnant women where <23.5 cm was belong to malnutrition groups (Ministry of Health RI, 2012).
Nutrition was one of the main determinants of the quality of Human Resources (HR). Nutritional disorders in early life would affect the quality of subsequent life (Ginsburg et al, 2015).

2. The effect of maternal education and LBW
This study showed that there was a direct effect between maternal education and LBW. Maternal education ≥Senior high school reduced the risk of LBW. High education would enable the mothers to obtain sufficient information in terms of the treatment during pregnancy and infant care and also nutritional fulfillment. Maternal education was an intermediary factor causing lack of nutritional status in pregnant women and resulting in low birth weight, premature birth, and neonatal mortality. Education can change a person’s way of thinking, the higher the education, the more critical in responding to a health problem, easy to receive information, and the higher the prevention and treatment of infants (Bhaskar, 2015; Friedman, 2010).

3. The effect of maternal anemia at pregnancy and LBW
This study showed that there was a direct effect between maternal anemia at pregnancy and LBW. Maternal anemia at pregnancy increased the risk of LBW.

The effects of anemia in pregnancy including the risk of LBW, previa placenta, eclampsia, preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), miscarriage, prolonged labor, antepartum bleeding, premature birth, even neonatal death and complications that can occur in neonates, which were premature, low apgar score, and fetal distress (Alam, 2012; Soetjining-sih, 2013, Lalage, 2013; Mansjoer et al, 2008; WHO, 2015).

4. The effect of parity and LBW
This study showed that there was a direct effect between parity and LBW. Parity 1 or >3 increased the risk of LBW.

Parity turned out to be a high risk at prematurity, Intrauterine Growth Restriction (IUGR) or small for gestation, LBW, and neonatal mortality. This was due to an incomplete maternal gynecological condition and malnutrition which relatively be the cause of the high risk. First parity can lead to LBW because of the lack of maternal information and experience so that the maternal knowledge to fulfill the nutrition and pregnancy care was weak. Parity >3 can cause LBW because it would generally have complications and disorders in pregnancy (Tris-tiyanti, 2006). Parity 2-3 was the safest condition for pregnancy and childbirth during reproductive period, because in that condition, the uterine wall has not changed much (Varney, 2010).

This study showed that there was an indirect effect between parity and LBW through birth spacing. Parity 2 or 3 decreased the risk of LBW than parity 1 or >3.

This study was consistent with a study by Lamichhane et al. (2017); Khadka et al. (2015), which reported that infant who were born less than 24 months or 2 years have higher risk of mortality compared to babies born over 24 months. Infant mortality was 2 times risky with shorter birth interval (less than 2 years) most likely born to third and fourth child which was very risky to experience infant mortality.

5. The effect of maternal age at pregnancy and LBW
This study showed that there was a direct effect between maternal age at pregnancy and LBW. Maternal age at pregnancy <20 or >35 years old increased the risk of LBW.
Women reproductive age was 20-35 years old. Maternal mortality occurred at the age of <20 or >35 years old were 2-5 times higher than women with reproductive age (Prawiroharjo, 2012). According to Kozuki et al. (2013), parity and maternal age increase the risk of neonatal death, such as IUGR, LBW, and prematurity. Young mothers increased the risk by 2 times of low birth weight in infants (Sharma, 2015). Maternal age <20 or ≥35 years old was directly related to low birth weight of the baby (Bhaskhar et al., 2015; Gebregsbahiherher et al., 2017; Shrestha et al., 2016).

This study showed that there was an indirect effect between maternal age at pregnancy and LBW through maternal education. Maternal age at pregnancy <20 or >35 years old increased the risk of LBW than pregnant mothers with reproductive age (20-35 years old).

Mothers who give birth at mature age have better knowledge about pregnancy and childbirth, and greater responsibility in caring for their baby (Singh, 2013). Maternal age <20 years old or ≥35 years old was directly related to low birth weight (Bhaskhar et al., 2015; Gebregsbahiherher et al., 2017; Shrestha et al., 2016).

6. The effect of birth spacing and LBW
This study showed that there was a direct effect between birth spacing and LBW. Birth spacing >2 year reduced the risk of LBW.

Statistically, short birth spacing lead to premature labor, LBW, small infant births according to gestational age, and infant mortality (Perin and Walker, 2015; Kozuki et al., 2013). Short pregnancy gap lead to an increased risk of fetal growth disorders that may result in (LBW, premature birth, and small size of pregnancy resulting in infant mortality (Conde-Agudelo, 2006).

7. The effect of family income and LBW
This study showed that there was an indirect effect between family income and LBW through maternal nutritional status. Family income ≥minimum regional wage increased maternal MUAC during pregnancy.

A pregnant woman with a low economic level family caused herself to be unable to fulfill the nutritional needs during pregnancy. Therefore, it would make a negative impact on pregnancy. Otherwise, women with a high level of economy would fulfill the nutritional needs during pregnancy and can easily access the health facilities (Aisyan, 2011).

8. The effect of maternal education and LBW
This study showed that there was an indirect effect between maternal education and LBW through family income. Maternal education ≥senior high school increased family income.

Mother with higher education (≥senior high school) was more likely to work outside the house than mother with low education (<senior high school). Maternal education is important for the sustainability of the infants life because it would increase the socioeconomic status that affected the survival of infants in the neonatal period (Ankiyemi et al., 2015).

This study also showed that there was an indirect effect between maternal education on the incidence of LBW through anemia in pregnancy. Maternal education ≥Senior high school reduced the risk maternal anemia during pregnancy.

Maternal education has an indirect relationship with the children mortality. Education was an intermediary factor causing the lack of nutritional status in
pregnant women resulting in low birth weight, premature birth, and neonatal mortality. Mothers with high level of education allow them to obtain sufficient information in terms of care during pregnancy and infant care and also nutritional fulfillment (Bhaskar 2015).

This study showed that there was an indirect effect between maternal education and LBW through maternal occupation. Maternal education ≥Senior high school increased the likelihood of mother working outside the house.

Maternal education was very important for the survival of infants, with high maternal education, it would improve the socioeconomic status that has been shown to affect the survival of infants in the neonatal period (Ankiyemi et al, 2015).

This study showed that there was an indirect effect between maternal education and LBW through parity. High maternal education reduced parity.

 Mothers with a high education enabled them to obtain sufficient information in terms of care during pregnancy and infant care and also nutritional fulfillment. Maternal education was an intermediary factor which lead to lack of nutritional status in pregnant women and resulting in low birth weight, premature birth, and neonatal mortality. Education can change a person's way of thinking, the higher the education, the more critical in responding the health problem, it was easy to receive information and the higher the prevention and treatment of infants and toddlers (Bhaskar, 2015; Friedman, 2010; SDKI, 2012).

Parity in mothers was closely related to the incidence of LBW. 1 or >3 parity was a risky parity for pregnancy and childbirth. Parity 1 can cause LBW because of the lack of information and experience so that the maternal knowledge to fulfill the nutrition and pregnancy care was poor. Parity >3 can lead to LBW because it would generally have complications and disorders in pregnancy (Tristiyanti, 2006). 2-3 parity was the safest condition for pregnancy and childbirth during reproductive period, because in that condition the uterine wall has not changed much (Varney, 2010). A study done by Sharma (2008) showed that mothers who had 2-3 parities decreased the incidence of LBW by 2.6 times than mothers who had 1 parity.

9. The effect of maternal occupation and LBW

This study showed that there was an indirect effect between maternal occupation and LBW. The results of the analysis in this study indicated that infants born from mother who work outside the house would increase the risk of LBW than infants born from mothers who work in the house.

Mother who works outside the house increased family income. High family income may help the mothers to access health services during pregnancy easily and fulfill maternal nutritional status during pregnancy (Malqvist et al., 2011; Titaley et al., 2008).
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